16th OCTOBER 2017

soldiersclaim

ATTN: Hon Chris Finlayson.

Mr Finlayson, you are aware of our petition, which was submitted into Parliament in November 2016 to be redressed. This brings the question to hand that the OTS, Crown Law and the Solicitor General, Governor General and the Auditor General are perverting the course of justice as to advance the Treaty claims. The Ministry (OTS) published a false report and had this presented to Parliament without evidential documentation. Their report was deliberately discriminating with false allegations directed towards my grandfather William Magnus Peterson 13/840.

This is the second time that false allegations have been made against my family. This was only to advance the Treaty claims.

Under the Treaty of Waitangi Section 6, it states that you must be Maori. The word Maori was given to the NZ people in 1847. Before this period in history, the tribal people were known in Law as savages. The word Maori was known in history to mean an ordinary man or tree, bird, etc.

\$18,000,000 was paid out to the Moriori on the 8/8/2017. They did not fall under section 6 of the Treaty, but they were still compensated. In 2010 re your correspondence to me, in regards to soldiers claim, you state that you must be Maori under section 6 of the Act. I cannot submit a claim under the wai 2500 for this very reason. Please explain the Moriori's settlement under the Treaty of Waitangi.

My family are Natives of New Zealand, and my dad records our family came under many Tribal areas from the South Island to the North Island. My father comments how history changes over such a short period of time. Turning 99 this year, my father deserves to see justice and respect. Instead, again, we are seeing racism and segregation.

How do you explain this?

This brings me back to the Act, under section 6(AA)(2) of the Treaty of Waitangi where the Crown refuses to recognise a new historic claim when piggy- backing on an old historic claim (number.) Also under section 6(AA) of the Act, the Crown does not recognise a new claim when their submissions have not been submitted before the cut off date in September 2008.

Mr Finlayson, the Government has breached the Treaty of Waitangi 1975, for not fulfilling their statutory obligations of law under the existing two sections.

In previous correspondence to Government, I raised not only treason but also racism, with documents of proof, and we are still awaiting your action, without the prejudice and segregation.

In the Ongarue and part of the Hurakia Survey District, the Crown had purchased some of this area for our returned servicemen. This area has now been compensated to an iwi. The historic paperwork states that the Chiefs from their iwi sold this land to the crown for settlement. You would be aware of the meaning,' settlement'. If you are not too sure, please read the Land Act and Settlement Act of 1908 upon which our claim is built.

In 2010 you apologised for the hardship that my family went through, and stated that you could not investigate soldier's holdings, so why are you supporting the wai 2500?

Crown Law told us that you have the responsibility for the final outcome of settlements. This alone shows how advanced we were into our claim, further than the Waitangi Tribunal with their Maori Military claims through the Treaty of Waitangi.

Have you considered where the Maori Military Veterans claims originated?

Remember Mr Finlayson, we have raised Treason to your attention and you also chose to not redress.

Racism and segregation are working against my family, when the Waitangi Tribunal used section 6 (AA)(2)-wai 2500-(W.W.1) to advance Maori Military claims.

The Government refuses to recognise me as a native under the original agreement of the Treaty of Waitangi, along with the updated version of the Treaty of Waitangi 1975, under Schedule The First, Article The Third.

The compensation that the Crown has awarded to Maniapotoa on the 15th August 2017 including the land back - the land which was set aside for Soldiers Settlement, but hidden into Native Land after Government bankrupted Soldier's Holdings.

You would be aware that not only my family, but 17 other veterans had large estates on settlement land in this region. This is recorded in Auckland Land District No:1377. You can view this original hand written document just across the road from Parliament, at the National Library. Mr Finlayson you can view other original documents that relate to our claim. These are held in Archives NZ, where Crown purchased the land in question in the late 1880's for settlements.

The Chiefs had the power to sell, and they did, and were compensated for it. The Tribal people could purchase land from their iwi throughout their regions. In our case, a ballot under the Discharged Soldiers Settlement Act of 1915 with Special Tenure and without competition was purchased.

Don't you think my father should be acknowledged and compensated for the illegal bankruptcy on our families estate with the land returned?

The injustice that took place towards my father, my grandparents, and my great grandparents should without question be redressed.

Why did the Primary Production Select Committee forward my Petition and documents onto the Office of Treaty Settlements (OTS,) when several times before I have been informed from the Ministry of Justice that I do not comply under section 6. (You must be Maori) Is this why they chose not to publish the 47 pages of Legislation, which explained the 53 pages of historic evidence. This was presented to the Select Committee to support my Petition.

Again, why would the OTS submit false documents on my family to Parliament, if it was not to advance the Treaty claims? Remember we have finished our investigation and you have just started.

The Solicitor General, Governor General and the Auditor General will not investigate the OTS for presenting false documentation against my family.

Within my father's life time, the parties involved would have been hung for this.

Under the wai 2500, they mentioned 2,227 Maori enlisted for W.W.1 and have submitted their Maori Military Veterans claims. As we cannot be segregated, does this mean that the Crown has to redress the remaining 10016 thousand veterans from W.W.1?

We have been in touch with the Waitangi Tribunal, Crown Law and the Solicitor General. they have not replied to many issues raised and not replied to our grievances. Mr Finlayson, are you able to explain their behaviour when holding a senior government position, where they are segregating the seriousness of our claim? This is similar to the claims which are presently in your hands, under the wai 2500. This also falls within your portfolio.

The Government Departments are having difficulty supporting our claim, but are more than prepared to use our documentation to support their claims under the Maori Military Veterans through the Waitangi Tribunal.

For your record, in case you did not receive any documents which were registered in Parliament to be dispersed to the 121 Sitting Members, I will attach the attachments for your viewing, and a copy of the audio for your hearing as evidence.

We also found out from the 121 Sitting Members that they had not received this document that was registered for their viewing.

You will hear that the treasonous activities from our New Zealand Government against our soldiers along with the supporting documents has not been raised nor redressed.

Looking forward to your support.

LEST WE FORGET

Yours sincerely Tanya Peterson



Office of Hon Christopher Finlayson

20 OCT 2017

Tanya Peterson

Email: soldiersclaim@hotmail.com

Tēnā koe

On behalf of Hon Christopher Finlayson, Minister for Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations, thank you for your email of 15 October 2017. Your correspondence is with Minister Finlayson for his information.

Nāku noa, na

I Holmes | Ministerial Secretary Office of Hon Christopher Finlayson

Reference: 16400