

FW: Response to your Official Information Act request
Tanya Peterson
a.adams@ministers.govt.nz
Att: Minister Amy Adams,

I'm very patient, but we have not heard from this department in regards to our requests for the Official Information, under this Act.
Look forward to this response.
Yours sincerely
Tanya Peterson

From: henrysorenson@hotmail.co.nz
To: sjcorrespondence@justice.govt.nz
Subject: RE: Response to your Official Information Act request
Date: Thu, 13 Aug 2015 23:20:09 +1200

Thank you for the reply, but once again I believe that there still seems to be a misunderstanding. The request under the OIA was for the Historic documentation and the paperwork from Mr M.R Harborow, Legal Aid Tribunal Member, on how he came to back up the Legal Aid Specialist Advisors decision. The 4.4 million was a figure that Tamiki Legal came up with in the beginning and has not been corrected since. If Mr Harborow was doing his job correctly, he would know that this figure is in correct. There is a Government MAF report from 2010, stating the pricing of native trees with the value, as seen on report date. The cruise sheets explains how much they paid for the native trees on the blocks, as the 4.4 million is in royalties, could one produce the documents. Can Mr Harborow explain to us, how many acres in total does my grandfather have on his block. You will find the answer in your historic paperwork, if you are qualify in this area. Again 4.4 million, dated 6/5/2015 has popped up again, this shows us, that you are not qualified to make any decisions on this matter. The documents that we have submitted for the Acts of High Treason, has not being addressed. Could you explain, is it Treason or Fraud that waivers the Statue of Limitation Act. Again, you are not qualified, as you would have addressed the seriousness of these historic documents. At that period of time this would have been a hanging offence. With your 22 years of experience, how many other people have presented you with documents of Treason. You might not think this is serious, but my grandparents lost everything, as well as over 4.900 other Returned Soldiers families, under the same act. The Land Act issues haven't being addressed. We had also requested under OIA the historic paperwork that Mr Harborow said that my grandfather walked off the land in 1925. Please produce the proof of my family walking off in 1925, as this could be classed as defamation of character. Your comment on other members of family been interested in this claim. Who are these interested parties, as dad is next of kin. (OIA) We respect Mr Harborow 22 years of legal experience, but this brings us right back to the first point. This is the first case in NZ history for a claim to be presented to High Court on the grounds of (Breach of Duty) for The WW1 Discharged Soldiers. If this man is qualified, could he produce the documentation on how many Soldiers from WW1 got Special Tenure, and with the 22 years of experience in law that Mr Harborow has, is he then qualified to be able to make a decision based on such an historic legislations, from the 1800's to late 1930's. Example: Section 385 of the 1909 Native Land Act. Ref: Where is his proof on the allegations that he has made in his decision, with regards to the whole review. He would also be familiar with the land laws amendment acts 1912 / 1913, arbitration set for soldiers, plus could he give us, the documentation on the time frame with, The Fair Rents Act in 1936. Revaluation on property in 1923 / 1927 / 1929, have not received any documentation on any of our OIA request. This leads us, in knowing that his opinion has no merit as he has not provided any historic documentation to back up his decision.

Yours sincerely
Tanya Peterson

