

RE: CIV-2015-663-64 Peterson v Legal Service Commissioner

Tanya Peterson

Bridge, Russell (russell.bridge@justice.govt.nz)

Hi Russell,

Could you please explain why it has taken so long to get back to me, as I have requested a couple of months ago for an appeal hearing, the first one there was a misunderstanding and error on the Justice Department. I sent you the paperwork several months ago, with no response from your Department. Janet Mason my lawyer three days before the appeal that was meant to be held in Rotorua, she dismissed me and kept most of my 7 years of research. Janet is now under investigation by the NZ Law Society and other Departments for misrepresentation under false pretences, perverting the course of justice for personal gain. Janet has submitted 5 different claims into the Maori Military Veterans claim, under the new Waitangi Treaty Claims, Wai 2500. Don't you find this interesting that my lawyer has funding from Legal Aid for the same information that you have declined me one. This is what the whole appeal is based on. You have asked me to prove fraud in this appeal hearing, at this present moment the NZ Justice Department is perverting the course of justice by not complying to my OIA and 26(a)(I) of the Privacy Act for the requests of their Historic paperwork on their decision of decline. First request was on the 23/12/2014. Still waiting. This is now in the hands of the Ombudsman.

When would it be convenient to have this hearing, as me and my researcher would like to have it in person. How can we view each others documentation over the phone. I would like this appeal hearing in the High Court of Rotorua, as well as all parties involved present.

I wish you and your family a Merry Christmas.

Yours sincerely

Tanya Peterson

---

From: Russell.Bridge@justice.govt.nz

To: henrysorenson@hotmail.co.nz

CC: I.hansen@barristerscomm.com

Subject: CIV-2015-663-64 Peterson v Legal Service Commissioner

Date: Sun, 13 Dec 2015 23:40:08 +0000

Hello Ms Peterson,

I am the case officer managing the above proceedings.

The matters appears to have come to a standstill. There is an issue of non-compliance with Justice Katz directions contained in his attached minute.

I would be willing to schedule a case management teleconference with a HC Justice in the new year if the issue of non-compliance was addressed. Alternatively please advise if this matter is to no longer proceed.

Regards