

Tanya Peterson

ROTORUA 3015

5/4/2017

Re: Petition 2014/72 of Tanya Peterson and 1 other

Solicitor - General:

ATT: Una Jagose;

Dear Una Jagose,

There needs to be a full investigation or a Royal Commission of Inquiry into Parliament, within the Ministry of Justice, along with the Primary Production Select Committee, where the Departments are perverting the course of Justice; Defamation of character on my Grandfather in regards to Military Records. Misleading Parliament by choosing to NOT to address our Petition completely and honestly.

When 67 issues were presented, only 7 were replied with derogatory remarks. There is no substance from Parliament, with regard to the importance of our claim. Their personal opinion and their laws for our Petition have no merit.

The research and the documentation from the Ministry of Justice, has not been published on the parliamentary website, along with their report in regards to this claim. Another Parliament covered up. Our research along with the Historic documentation will prove that our Petition stands to redress JUSTICE by LAW.

My Petition was tabled in Parliament by Fletcher Tabuteau, a Member of the New Zealand First Party, for the unjust events leading into the illegal bankruptcy of my Grandparents Estate, Section 2 Block V Hauraki S.D. This land was put aside for Returned Servicemen only. (Section 4 of the Discharged Soldiers Settlement Act of 1915; Soldiers Ballot. (S.T.L.) My grandfather had a Deed with His Majesty The King, or his Heirs and successors. (Not with the Government.)

Mortgage was repaid to His Majesty the King and the land was dismissed. The Ministry of Justice states, "that they don't understand why William Magnus Peterson had a mortgage nor could the Ministry explain the Sale Plan Number on grandparents property with Section 385 of the Native Land Act 1909." The Ministry needed further investigation on their part. They choose not to. Please explain why there is no further investigation on their final report. Our research along with Government Historic documents can prove this.)

The Ministry of Justice also commented that we don't understand Historic Land Claims. True. We are not Lawyers. (The Ministry of Justice is not familiar in what took place as their historians have just started their investigation for the wai 2500 as we have finished where our documents speak louder than any Historian expert. We can prove through our research the corruption from Government, events leading into illegal bankruptcy on Soldiers Holdings. This is still open today by Law as this is a part of the investigation within the misuse of Soldiers Accounts. The Treason Legislations over ride all Laws. We have done eight years of research and we understand the Laws for our Return Servicemen. Remember this is not a Treaty Claim. We have been informed in the past by the Waitangi Tribunal (Ministry of Justice) and Chris Finlayson (Attorney-General) on several occasions, when they have stated that you must be Maori as Native is not recognised for it to be lodged in the Waitangi Tribunal, under Military Veterans Inquiry. (Wai 2500)

The Waitangi Tribunal has not replied to one of many questions. (eg: The First Schedule and Article The Third) of The Treaty of Waitangi Act 1840 and 1975. If this claim is not recognised by the Ministry of Justice for a Soldier in

W.W.1 on an illegal bankruptcy by Government, then the Treaty Settlements under the wai 2500 will never be recognised in The Treaty of Waitangi. A Member from the Select Committee states that there are similar claims going through at present. (Refer to audio tape) This is racist. We have mentioned this. We have been involved with Members of Parliament over the years. Correspondence can be provided on request.

The Ministry of Justice is getting confused with the Treaty Settlements. This does not come under the Treaty of Waitangi.

Under the Contract of my Grandfather's Deed, this must go through Arbitration under Section 9 of the Land Laws Amendment Act of 1912, as modified by section 33 of the Land Laws Amendment Act of 1913. These Laws will come into effect when a Soldier is heading for bankruptcy, under stressful events and under duress from Government Officials. The Laws were set in place for the Discharged Soldiers Settlement Act of 1915 (S.T.L) with the Land Act and Settlement Act of 1908, and with Land Improvement and Native Lands Acquisition Act, 1894. This was to prevent speculators taking advantage of our Soldiers and our Land Settlements.

In the Hansard debates of September 24/1915, under the Discharged Soldiers Settlement Bill, certain Ministers are discussing the Discharged Soldiers Settlement Bill. This was to stop the speculators coming through and for the protection of our Returned Servicemen. They have had problems with speculators in the past. That is why Soldiers received land through Ballot under the D.S.S Act of 1915, long term with Right of Purchase, along with the Land Act, and Land for Settlement Act of 1908 and referred to 1894. The Ministry of Justice states that the Soldiers were the speculators. This shows that the Ministry of Justice again has no merit.

Attached are 53 documents plus another 47 pages of Legislations of laws to support the 53 documents. This went in front of the Primary Production Select Committee, to give them a understanding of the Petition. Again, they did not choose to view any supporting documents. . The 47 pages of supporting legislation were not acknowledged on the Parliamentary website, under my Petition; # 2014/72, for viewing. It would have been respectful if Parliament had removed my foot notes from the documents before publishing. They were there to help the members with a better understanding of the law in regard to the documents. 'Why wasn't the overview and the laws published? It was a crucial part of the Petition.

The Ministry of Justice states that there were 29 applicants who went into the Soldiers Settlement ballot. 25 were successful , but only 17 Soldiers received and were placed on the land, 25/8/1920. The remaining 8 Blocks of Soldiers Settlement land was put up for sale on Friday the 6/12/ 1921 as rural land. This was by the Commissioner of Crown Land, H.M. Skeet, who happens to be the same Commissioner who set aside the same 25 sections for Returned servicemen. Mr H.M Skeet became the Commissioner of Crown Lands of Auckland in 1920, after having been a surveyor since 1903. He had a personal interest into the Wiamiha District, as he had visited often over many years. This was leading into the Soldiers Settlement Estates (H.M. Skeet a Surveyor) as H.M. Skeet is on my grandfathers Deed, (Memorandum of Mortgage under the Discharged Soldiers Settlement Amendment Act 1915) where there is no Amendment under D.S.S. Act 1915 until 1916. The Ministry of Justice replies it was a typing error. Is this like the 11 year investigation into Soldiers accounts between 1921 - 1932, which the Ministry of Justice has not addressed, along with 60 other issues that were presented in the Petition and the overview.

Mr Skeet signed off on my grandfathers Timber Contract with John Albert Endean, a Timber Merchant from Auckland, and the Selection of Land by Soldiers in 25/8/1920. He is also the same man who would not allow my grandfather financial assistance on two occasions for grass seed, between 1921-- 1923. Mr Skeet's actions have proven to be questionable over this period of time. Historic documents of proof are available on request.

I will draw your attention to Section 3 Block X Ongarue S.D this was put aside for Returned Servicemen ONLY. It was sold to John Endean, Timber Merchant of Auckland. A civilian who never served in any expeditionary forces during WW1. The Ministry of Justice states that the timber merchant Endean got Soldiers land from a Mr Dow. They are yet to substantiate any factual documentation which would support any contrary argument against our claim. The historic documentation states he got Section 3 Block 1X Ongarue S.D.

The document which is attached to the big map is not the original Deed. The Ministry of Justice states Endean had Section 12 Block X Ongarue S.D. This also is Soldiers Land. How does John Albert Endean of Auckland, Timber Merchant, require land set aside for Returned Servicemen ONLY? The Ministry of Justice has not satisfactorily answered.

The Ministry should have been aware that the land was becoming scarce for our Returned Servicemen during W.W.1. Especially First Class Land as my Grandparents received through Ballot, under (S.T.L) Second Class Land. Ref: (refer to the Land Act 1908)

Certain Members of Parliament were conspiring against King George The V and his Returned Servicemen. This was for their financial gain.

My Grandfather's Estate, as well as the 8 remaining Sections of Soldiers Holdings, are also Acts of Treason.

This is why I requested a caveat of all Crown Holdings until this claim is redressed. (Refer to overview and audio tape) as Soldiers Estates have been disposed of through State Forest. History is repeating itself! We had the supporting documentation for the Primary Production Select Committee to view on the day.

We did present our overview verbally in Wellington. The Select Committee's response was that it was unnecessary to view the supporting documents. They commented that they were aware that these events had taken place. (Refer to audio.)

What is the penalty for Treason in 1921?

Would this come under National Security of today? Treason was raised on three occasions to the Primary Production Select Committee, with no redress.

The amount of evidence which I have presented to you shows that the Ministry of Justice is not qualified nor capable to make any personal or under sided comments on such a serious claim as this. There is no supporting evidence to back up the slanderous allegations towards my grandfather, who had served under King George the V, and had left these shores, on three different campaigns with the Armed Forces for King and Country.

Example: W.W.1 Auckland Mounted Rifles. In Samoa 1928-1929 as a Member of the Samoan Military Police, with the New Zealand Permanent Military Forces, and gave his services again, in W.11 with the R.N.Z.A.F. The Ministry of Justice sounds like they are implying that my Grandfather, William Magnus Peterson was a coward by saying; (He was determined to be permanently unfit for further Military Service.) Military records of William Magnus Peterson W.W.1 Medical Files in Military Records, tell another story. (The Truth) Please produce documents from the Ministry of Justice on their evidence of proof.

It's a pity that the Ministry of Justice didn't read the debates. Then they would know who the traitors were.

This is defamation of character. A personal attack without merit, from the Ministry of Justice. Ministry of Justice and the Primary Production Select Committee, who have been appointed through Parliament have acted as the judge, jury and executioner on a decorated Soldier. This is my Grandfather, William Magnus Peterson. What right does the Ministry of Justice have to make a personal opinion on my Grandfather's wellbeing, one 100 years ago? It's a cheek to discriminate a Soldier and my family. Please present all of the supporting documents of proof from the report, which was filed to the Primary Production Select Committee on the 14/2/2017.

This alone shows us there needs to be a full Government enquiry. Documents will be provided on request to prove that Treason and Perverting the Course of Justice and Defamation of Character and illegal bankruptcy with acts of *lese-majesty*, had taken place behind closed doors. The members of the Back Room Boys were

formed in 1914. Ref: (Parliamentary Library, Wellington.)

In regards to the illegal bankruptcy on the Estate of William Magnus Peterson for the (SALE OF TIMBER RIGHTS) held in Auckland, on the 1/6/1927. There was no mention of bankruptcy for Sale of Timber Rights in 1927. Only for a gearbox on bankruptcy papers 1186, from the 10/5/1927 to 5/8/1929. The Land Board did not give consent in 1927 for Timber Rights. Ref; (ACGT 18190, LS1/1899,26/19615, Archives NZ Wellington.) shows that (Forestry File ADSQ 17639 F1/361, 17/1/100, Archives NZ Wellington shows it's another act of

lese-majesty.) The Government had William under abandonment in 5/4/1927 where he had mortgage paid

off 4 days previous on the 1/4/1927. The Land Board then proceeded to have William Peterson on forfeiture, forcing him into bankruptcy. The Government violated Section 7 and 11 under The D.S.S. Act of 1915. All

royalties from timber must go directly into the Soldiers Settlement Accounts, which is then set aside under Section 6 of the D.S.S. Act of 1915, for advancements. The Ministry of Justice should be aware of this, as they say they are the experts.

My father who is 99 this year, the only original living Native from Waimiha, states and remembers today, that they only had two rooms. We have attached the photos for viewing into the type of house it really was. Please get the Ministry of Justice to provide the documentation and photos and the floor plans of the six room house. The credibility of the Ministry of Justice and the Primary Production Select Committee are unreliable along with perverting the course of justice by not addressing the Petition.

Ref: 1931 New Zealand Year Book, page 413.(Revaluation on Soldiers Property.) There was an eleven year Government investigation into the New Zealand Land Board; into 4,900 of misused Soldiers accounts. My grandparents were one of these accounts, along with McIntyre from Canterbury. It seems as if history is repeating itself. It is happening to us today. My forefathers had no chance to recover from The Great War with little or no support, and to end up in a Political war for financial gain on his return. How many times does one's family have to leave these shores, for the freedom we have today?

We are absolutely disgusted with the Ministry of Justice in their personal allegations, in regards to his medical records. Was the Ministry of Justice there at the time?

Inside the memory stick is a recording of the overview. This took place between myself and the nine members of the Primary Production Select Committee, on the 3/11/2016. This is important for you to hear and read.

Attached is the Petition and the overview, with the report from the Ministry of Justice along with our evidence of proof, only on the allegations from the Ministry of Justice. We have the authenticity and the origin of our nine years of Historic documents leading into the events of falsely bankrupting my grandparents.

Received from the Select Committee, a letter which was addressed to them, from the Ministry of Justice. This had been passed on from the Ministry of Justice to Ian McKelvie, Chairperson of the Select Committee, which the secretary then forwarded on to me. This came to me second hand, it was NOT addressed to me personally. It came with no written decision from the Primary Production Select Committee. On the 28/3/2017 Fletcher Tabuteau also inquired on our behalf, and had the same reply as we did. "Nothing"... and he's a Member of Parliament.

I requested a hard copy of the decision from the secretary of the Select Committee, as we were informed that the Select Committee needed to find a direction to lead down. (Refer to audio tape) When receiving a report from the Ministry of Justice, there was a final shut down from the Select Committee, with no response.

Why do we need the Primary Production Select Committee, when they choose to not address the Petition in the first place? This is another Government cover up. The Ministry of Justice is perverting the course of justice by misleading and fabricating their own interpretation of events, into what took place.' The Select Committee had *the opportunity to view any supporting documents and laws, for the duration of our meeting, on request, approximately 47 Kg.* They chose not to. (Ref to audio tape)

The Ministry of Justice, if they knew what they were doing in the first place, would know that section 12 Block X Ongarue Survey District is not Endean's but Section 3 of Block 1X Ongarue is. This was put aside for Returned Servicemen, then sold as Rural Land from H.M. Skeet the Commissioner of Crown Lands, in the Land District of Auckland. The Government changed the boundaries with Section and Block to suit the Timber Merchant Endean. I have attached the title of Endean's Mill dated 1/9/1961. Evidence shows Endean's contract 1921 with his holding of section 3 Block 1X Ongarue on the West Taupo County part of the Ongarue Block, Second-Class Land (Soldiers Holdings.) All Members in question, happen to be in Auckland, where the Head Office is in Wellington.

I have attached 4 supporting documents with yellow stars to show that Members of Parliament behind closed doors had falsified boundaries, maps, and Titles for their own financial gain. NZ Gazette No: 48, on the 22/6/1922 shows that Government document 20/11/1922 are false.

Could you please ask the Ministry of Justice, where is Section 3-5-and 6 of Block V Ongarue S.D, when it was Gazetted, on 22/6/1922 on page 1635. Involving Samuel James Dickson (Member of Parliament) where William Endean is a Member of Parliament and a family member to John Albert Endean, Timber Merchant, all from Auckland.

Research shows the Endean's were not just a Member of Parliament back in 1900's, but prominent lawyers then and today.

This should have been addressed years ago by my Lawyer Janet Mason of Wellington. She was supplied with more than enough documentation to go forward with the claim so my dad would be able to see Justice for his parents. Another one perverting the course of Justice for her own financial gain. 2014 submissions have been coming through from the Maori Military Veterans Inquiry wai 2500 under the Treaty of Waitangi. This is where Janet Mason is now.

This might explain why the Ministry of Justice had segregated and discriminated my family, as this is a conflict of interest. Documentation can be provided.

This year dad will be 99 years old on Christmas Day, who's also a Veteran that left these shores for another World War, like my forefathers. For freedom.

This would be respectful and honourable for my claim to be resolved for ANZAC this year, in memory of the forgotten ones.

We would like a copy of all documentation from the Ministry of Justice of their evidence to back up their allegations.

I'm attaching some e-mail communication between myself, the Ministry of Justice, and the Select Committee.

You should also be aware of the nine members from the Primary Production Select Committee, with the Justice Department have committed Treason today for not addressing the Treasonous activities that took place between the NZ Government and our Returned Servicemen from THE GREAT WAR. This is why we need a caveat over all Crown Holdings.

Yours sincerely

Tanya Peterson

Wayne Duggan

(Researcher for Peterson @ McNeight)

soldiersclaim

Witness:

LEST WE FORGET