

From: soldiersclaim@hotmail.com
To: david.howden@justice.govt.nz
Subject: RE: Peterson v LSC
Date: Sat, 18 Jul 2015 17:36:40 +1200

Thank you for the response, as far as the legal aid file, yes, please a complete copy of the file, as well as the historic documents which was removed, when it was sent to Wellington. Return please in hard copy.

On the 12/12/2014 under 26(a)(i) of the Privacy Act, and 29(c) Of The Official Information Act, for The Legal Services Commissioner, and the Legal Aid Specialist Advisor, for their qualifications, and all historic and resent documentation on the decision on declining me, under 10 (4)(d)(i). This request again was filed in Legal Services Rotorua Office. This department has not complied to my request, it's now in the hands of the Ombudsman.

Its very hard to put a date down for an appeal hearing, as we are waiting for the outcome of the Ombudsman reports as well as other government organisations.

I've been requesting for the qualifications on the Legal fraternity of this country.

This needs to be addressed face to face, in all fairness this needs to be addressed to Her Majesty, as it specifies in the contract.

I was a little confused when myself, and a friend turned up for my telephone conference on my appeal, in the High Court, Court

Room 1, Rotorua, at 9.am, on the 23/6/2015, wasn't informed that this was to be registered in Wellington or at home.

Court surveillance cameras will verify I was their.

How does a High Court Registry in Rotorua sign my paper work, which she should be aware that high court appeals are only heard in Wellington or Auckland. You say that this should be submitted in the nearest High Court, so why didn't it go to Auckland.

You will see that this is a simple mistake on my court of appeal papers, it states in The High Court Of New Zealand Rotorua Registry, we even went to the front desk to find out where you were, after waiting outside court room 1. for a considerable time. The lady behind the desk found out that they had rung my home number. The plot thickens.!

This claim should never had been filed under Civil, as this is a criminal case, with fraudulence and conspiring to overthrow The King. " Acts Of Treason."!

Please explain after 266 years of the Treason Act, this Act just happens to be changed around that same time of the disposal of Soldiers Estates. While you are working through this, could you please explain the need to introduce the Statues of Limitations Act 1950, again around that same time. Was this put into place to cover the governments treasonous activities that took place during

those years.

Thank you for your last response.

Yours sincerely

Tanya Peterson